



The communication with the United Nations High Commissioner of Human Rights

this version and the Dutch version are authentic

Introduction

The pyramid by state union instances and state instances has in top of it the United Nations that on its part is divided in sovereign entities. One of these is the Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights (hereafter: OHCHR) and declared itself the leading UN entity on Human Rights [*1]. At this site the Office declared "We represent the world's commitment to the promotion and protection of the full range of human rights and freedoms set out in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.". At least on this point the public scrutiny challenges the UN in a fair trial and public hearing.

The matter

The Universal Declaration of Human Rights has the goal that man is not to be compelled to have recourse to rebellion against tyranny and oppression (preamble). Since ages before year 0000 has the civil service (the name for the whole of servants, officers and derivate instances or organizations) split nations by a latent discrimination line with above the civil service and under the civil citizens.

So any oppression or tyranny is done only by the civil service; By knowing that the 'democratic leaders' are solely the spokesmen of the civil service [*2]. The Human Rights, when these exist, equalise and, in other words, destroy eternally any latent discrimination line. The UN combats the equal power of the Human Rights.

The United Nations being the top of the civil service, created the most terrible cunning criminal organizations. Each organization is the national judicial system with in the top tribunals or judges, distinct in levels, and has a breadth out to the people working in derivate professions. The tribunal levels are justice, higher justice and highest justice and civil citizens are forced to start at the 'justice' level with a fake right to climb up to the most expensive 'highest justice'. The UN combats that the Human Rights prescribe solely one (1) tribunal.

An other crime of the UN is to protect these criminal organizations by, at the least, the document titled "General comment no. 32" on Article 14 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights: Right to equality before courts and tribunals and to fair trial; plus the document titled "Basic Principles on the Independence of the Judiciary". The right to, inter alia, an independent tribunal and a fair and public hearing is a

Human Right (thus solely of civil citizens) and the civil service is solely compelled to deliver. By a notice of default of the involved infringed civil citizen claims a full repair. In the end is solely the public scrutiny authorised to examine and judge on the tribunal's or judge's decision or verdict. The UN combats the by Human Rights founded public scrutiny [*3] and its authority.

Knowing this all above, then UN documents (such as mentioned above) are evidently only meant for inside the civil service and to keep the civil service above the latent discrimination line that split nations in two. Nowhere in any UN document is the legal public scrutiny, being the goal for the fair and public hearing and being the democracy in the judicial system, considered and more worse even nowhere mentioned. So the UN keeps the Human Rights as a charity, as it was since ages before year 0000 up to now, plus to keep each civil citizen depending of the civil service for any random (discriminating) delivering of the respect (acts and behaviour) for the Human Rights. Any dependency solely exists when Human Rights are absent. This tyranny and oppression ignites rebellion escalating up to war again, as predicted in the preamble by wise humans. The UN combats these wise humans and betrays the solders, who were enforced to die in war for freedom and peace.

The evidence

The huge number of decisions and verdicts in equal cases are the evidence of firstly discrimination. Also evidence is that nobody opposes on the huge number of this discrimination. But making a huge number of unjust verdicts or decisions does not change injustice into justice. To avoid repeating is here reference to the attached "Manifesto of the public scrutiny" that is sent to the United Nations High Commissioner of Human Rights, ms. Bachelet with the attached letter.

The paragraphs in the manifesto reflect the reality of the individual civil citizen under the lowest latent discrimination line. Emphasized shall be that individual civil citizens sues out of first hand infringement on its rights which are its inalienable property. The law is written solely for each individual civil citizen to adjust his behaviour and socially and properly exercise its rights.

So the individual civil citizen who sues knows the law(s) and thus the infringement or violation is true. While the judicial civil service in or at courts and tribunals or judges, compel him or her to begging for charity. These civil services of courts and tribunals or judges, the people working in derivate professions and semi-governmental instances, in organisations derivate from the judicial system and in states-union organisations are never impartial. All these people have a dominating interest by work or income in maintaining the injustice. The begging and domination is due to the protection by firstly the UN. The civil citizens have no interest in maintaining injustice and have no interest in maintaining the charity and begging. The interest of civil citizens is founded in the "Charter of the public scrutiny" to dominate from Constitution up to the 'lowest' law or Rule.

Evidence by a great variety of court cases with infringements or violations by the Dutch courts, judges, lawyers and judicial officers are gathered in the webdossier at URL "www.de-openbare-zaak.nl" where more and specific information is available. Alongside is sufficient and specific information on judgments and challenges of courts and tribunals or judges by the public scrutiny available at the internet site at URL

"www.publicscrutiny.nl". All this information is submitted to the courts, appeal courts and supreme courts (the Netherlands has two Supreme Courts) tribunals and judges, but it is destroyed and covered up to block a fair and public hearing of judges, their court presidents and court's civil service.

The destination

To avoid repeating is here reference to the attached "Charter of the public scrutiny" that is sent to the United Nations High Commissioner of Human Rights, ms. Bachelet.

The "Charter of the United Nations" dated San Francisco 1945, starts with the line "We the peoples of the United Nations" which obligation must now be paid off to first of all the individual civil citizens under the lowest latent discrimination line in each nation of the United Nations. Thus UN, stop helping the colleague civil services but enforce to respect the equalizing force and authority of the public scrutiny [*3], by any civil service in each nation of all United Nations (within a reasonable time). And so forth claimed at the High Commissioner ms. Bachelet in the attached letter.

As long as the ignoring lasts, in fact the refusal to thoroughly and resolving communicating on paper, analogue the tyranny and oppression lasts. Communication on paper is necessary for other people to recall what objects and purposes are meant, to set forth necessary implied applying.

The location of information or documents by the notes

[*1] <https://www.ohchr.org/EN/AboutUs/Pages/WhoWeAre.aspx>

[*2] www.publicscrutiny.nl in the chapter "The Public Scrutiny's challenges of tribunals, judges or the European Court" in the sub-chapter "Addressing the relevant authorities on this cover-up issue" in the document "A correspondence sequence with the Dutch Prime Minister".

[*3] www.publicscrutiny.nl in the chapter "The Manual for Public Scrutiny, the General Conditions of Accepting, European Court Judgements, and more documents." in the document "Manual for Public Scrutiny".

<address sender>.
<place sender>.
<country sender>.

September 3, 2021.

The High Commissioner for Human Rights,
Ms. Michelle Bachelet.

Palais des Nations.
CH-1211 Geneva 10, Switzerland.

Sent by registered post

Dear ms. Bachelet,

I write to the High Commissioner for Human Rights, in person, about the matter of failure in the exercise of Human Rights by individual civil citizens under, at the least, the lowest latent discrimination line. The United Nations created the most terrible cunning criminal organisation by protecting the judicial system from scrutiny.

On this matter I stand up for myself as well as for the public scrutiny.
This letter escorts the enclosed manifesto that is evident clear on the crime committed by the UN. This manifesto has its roots in the available data and information that is gathered in the webdossier in and at the internet site with URL "www.de-openbare-zaak.nl" and the public scrutiny's begging notices and judgments in or at the internet site with URL "www.publicscrutiny.nl".

I also enclose the copy of the letter to the Office, so you know on more infringement on our Human Rights.

For the time being is the High Commissioner for Human Rights sufficient supplied with information. After the evidence of at the least ten years, a reasonable period of time is elapsed. The manifesto has a tail piece with the public scrutiny's participation to its return from being the expelled democracy in justice: Charter of the public scrutiny. At the same time the public scrutiny is being the sole non-benefiting from employment in the judicial system or in its derived employments. So the sole non-benefiting from injustice.

I expect that in a shocking short period of time the United Nations publicly declare the manifesto for adopted and enforce implantation in the national civil services. Regardless their administrative, legislative, judicial or maintaining duties or office.

With friendly regards,
<signature sender>

<sender>

<address sender>.
<place sender>.
<country sender>.

September 3, 2021.

The Office
Of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights,

Palais des Nations.
CH-1211 Geneva 10, Switzerland.

Sent by registered post
Copy for High Commissioner, ms. M. Bachelet.

Dear registrar or secretary,

I write to the Office and to all United Nations' Human Rights Bodies as a whole of servants, clerks and officers and appeal to each individual's moral character. I ask to spread and internal publish this document.

I lodge a serious infringement against Human Rights by the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights (hereafter: OHCHR).

The Dutch government's civil officer set forth in the official way of communicating that the civil service governs and the country's Cabinet speaks out publicly [*1].

This structure and way of work is copied into all govern departments, organs, instances, bodies (hereafter: instances) and into the non-governmental organisations, the big organisations, the big businesses and so on; national and international. Such as the OHCHR itself presents as the leading UN entity on Human Rights, which evidences the copying.

The reality for us individual civilian citizens under, at the least, the lowest latent discrimination line (hereafter: us), is that each secretarial body, so including the OHCHR, has taken the sovereignty to pass or hold our notices of default on matters of failure in the exercise by us of Human Rights [*2]. When dependency is present, then it is always due to the absence of Human Rights. Supply requested service is normal behaviour.

Each secretarial body entitled itself sovereign as gatekeeper for access to the assumed governing board and to keep this board away from knowing the reality. While the UN's Universal Declaration indisputably set forth that Human Rights are of any individual to claim, the reality is that the secretarial bodies stole our inalienable right to claim these rights; A reality of several levels for us of useless begging.

Like the OHCHR claims the unlimited independency of tribunals at its fellow instances, while for us the crimes of these tribunals continue. While the High Commissioner or the UN's Secretary General (considering behaviour) knows nothing about the crimes by the judicial system, that are the real cause of the violence in war-free countries.

Instead of servicing us, nowadays these instances occupy themselves with claiming while pretending on behalf of (imaginary) individual civilians. The top of the pyramid

of this structure (of national and international instances) is the United Nations entity: the OHCHR.

For sufficient and specific data, information and cases must the addressed Office and individuals read with consciousness the webdossier at URL "www.de-openbare-zaak.nl" and the public scrutiny's internet site "www.publicscrutiny.nl".

Also I do very, very strongly advise to weekly keep up with the published information at both internet site URLs.

Enclosed in this envelope is an escorting letter to the High Commissioner in person with the attached manifesto, charter and a copy of this letter for the High Commissioner. It is the Office's duty to handover in person these documents.

I wish to receive in a reasonable short period of time, the acknowledgement of the receiving of this letter and the handover to the High Commissioner in person of the letter with attached manifesto, charter and copy.

Yours sincerely,
<signature sender>

<sender>

Locations by the notes

[*1] URL: www.publicscrutiny.nl in chapter "Public scrutiny 's challenges of tribunals judges or European Court" in section "Addressing relevant authorities on this cover-up issue" in item "A series of correspondence with the Prime Minister.".

[*2] URL: www.publicscrutiny.nl in chapter "Public scrutiny 's challenges of tribunals judges or European Court" in section "" in item "most recent challenge".

Public



Scrutiny

Manifesto of the public scrutiny

The English and Dutch version is authentic

Adressing

This manifesto is addressed to "We the People of the United Nations". This is the notice that human rights do not exist, at least certainly not in the Netherlands, and this is now also being addressed to the United Nations and every organ thereof.

Senders

There is a large number of non-groupable, vulnerable, individual and consciously independent citizens. These citizens are each not linked by work or income to any field of action of the judicial system or any field of work derived from it, no matter how small this may be. So there is no interest whatsoever for these citizens, with maintaining injustice. Out of this large number of citizens I rise and also for the public scrutiny.

Civil service (the whole of servants, officials and derivate organizations) splits nations

The Human Rights do not exist and especially not because a latent line of discrimination does exist. Above it the civil service and split by this latent line of discrimination remains the civilian population below. So that suppression of civilian population can only be done by civil servants. The nations have been split like this from ages before Christ to the present day. The organization of the United Nations also belongs to the civil service.

Violence is communication

These vulnerable citizens have no power other than that of human rights and because of this we communicate from direct reality of or about the violations of our human rights. Some do this communication about the non-existence of our human rights through violence. This means of communicating is a stage in the escalation of useful communication resources when people are ignored. Any violence is always legal in that it can only exist in the mere absence of human rights, such as a proper tribunal. There is a lot of violence in war-free nations and this is a mark of human rightslessness; it shouldn't be necessary. Violence creates work for the civil service.

Discrimination due to an oversize of authority

In the civil service is a part that implements and administers legislation and regulations. At the same time, this support to citizens harbors a dependency. Any dependence exists through the absence of human rights and is thus authority. Only the correct employer's authority is legal. This manifesto, and even more so the preceding begging letters to authorities above the latent discrimination line, are and provide solid evidence of dependence without employer authority. This latent line of

discrimination is typical of the division between the excess of authority above it in institutions and the immoderateness, close to nil, of authority below at the individual, vulnerable citizen(s).

Excess of judicial authority

In the civil service is a part that manufactures legislation and regulations which the judicial civil service ignores through judgments made with and from the personal opinion afterwards. Judging like that is always intolerably unfair and it guarantees discrimination. The latter is proved by the enormous number of dissenting judgments in like cases. This huge number is due to an excess of judicial authority, and this authority is always illegal. Making unfair and discriminatory judgments in large numbers does not change injustice into justice. It does increase the scope of violence and turns judgment into a commodity and to license or to oppress.

The pinnacle of infringement of human rights

In the meantime, judicial officers have also given human rights to all civil servants and themselves, in the performance of their duties. Despite the fact that the author and the signatory representatives have declared these rights against any oppression and this oppression is exercised solely by civil service in office.

Judicial system is not a tailpiece

Article 8 is impossible to interpret otherwise than that tribunals are intended by the author and signatory representatives, as the last in line of infringement and consequential events. This article is impossible to apply in such a way that a human right only exists after the tribunal has established it. Article 10 is impossible to interpret otherwise than that publicly is intended by the author and signatory representatives for public scrutiny of the tribunal. But a tribunal let itself not be corrected by public scrutiny and this typifies a totalitarian and dictatorial authority. So that the effective remedy of Article 8 is obliterated. Furthermore, an article is not a ground for discrimination and each article must be interpreted and applied or implemented in harmony with each other. This harmony is split more and more.

Path to war

With the manufacture of "paper" for safe-conduct of criminal judicial officials, the United Nations has set out on the path to eventual war. This has already been predicted by the author and the signatory representatives, who have experienced and felt war bodily, in the preamble to the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. This path conflicts with United Nations principles and is thus a violation of Article 14, §2 or Article 29, §3.

Sectie I.

Confirmation of the path to war

The fact that the path to war has been taken is indisputably been put into practice by the Dutch government and the European Union, with the criminal lawsuit against Hungary being prompted by a Hungarian (so-called) 'anti-LGBT law' [*1]. Highlighted is that the European leaders bear witness to the belief that the judicial system for individual (lgbt-) citizens is ruined and not working. **Brief summary:** The Dutch government advocates that dissenters (other than the Dutch government or the European Union) are 'brought to their knees'. This comes from a warlike morality. But in Europe, every dissenter has the right to express and live out their convictions with

impunity. Mutually, the dissenting Hungarian people do not allow themselves to be brought to their knees for their convictions. This leads to war. Furthermore, European leaders show that there is no trust in the judicial system; because the failure of a national judicial system would be corrected by a European Court, but there is no confidence in this.

A corresponding practice is with Poland; the only country that tackles the crimes committed by the judges and tribunals. As a first step, Poland wants every judge or tribunal to apply the law again and not their own opinion about it. Poland will not receive any more money from the European Union until their approach stops and judicial crimes can continue. The individual LGBTI person, in whatever country, is not helped at all by this. Delivering a desired justice for money is ordinary trade.

Confirmation of the criminality of judicial system

The crimes committed by the Dutch courts, tribunals or judges and other bodies or agencies in the judicial system have been adequately and specifically made available for public scrutiny in or with the internet site at URL "www.de-openbare-zaak.nl" and the URL "www.publicscrutiny.nl".

This manifesto highlights some important topics, without being exhaustive about the topics collected on the website www.publicscrutiny.nl and made available to public scrutiny.

Sectie II.

(1) Power to sue

Enshrined in Article 2 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, all the rights and freedoms set forth in this Declaration are the right of everyone, including mine even if I were the sole proprietor in the world to be. Established in the preamble of this Declaration, this right is inalienable and thus has absolutely nothing to do with money, trade or economics. The exercise of my right (to all rights and freedoms) leads to the charges or accusations against the representatives since 1990 in the organs of the United Nations, now through the means of this manifesto that is also made available to the public scrutiny [*2] .

(2) Indictment of treason to the initial representatives

Article 29, paragraph 3 and, alongside, Article 30 are impossible to interpret otherwise than to refer to (the intentions and principles of) the initial representatives of the United Nations or to (the Universal Declaration of) the author who submitted it for signature on June 26, 1945. The principles, intentions and Universal Declaration are their eternal and inalienable property and not that of the subsequently appointed representatives in the "United Nations" or in any member state. This ownership is the implementation of the rights issued in Articles 18 and 19 which are inalienable and reaffirmed with the intellectual property right. For the principles, intentions and Universal Declaration, approximately 34.5 million soldiers were forced to die in war.

The contradictory reality

Since the last decades, many and far different intentions and contradictory pseudo-interpretations have been published with the own opinion of the then-appointed representatives in the "United Nations". These intentions and interpretations are illegal and are betrayal to the author of the Universal Declaration and to the initial

representatives of the member states and deepest betrayal to the soldiers who had to sacrifice their lives for peace and freedom.

The evidence

The contradiction can be pointed out indisputably, inter alia, in the document "General Comment No. 32" on article 14 of the "International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights" [*3].

(3) Indictment against civil service

Article 21, paragraph 2, gives the right to equal access to civil service. The civil service includes at least all governmental and intergovernmental departments, bodies or institutions. The organs of the United Nations are also the UN's officialdom. The hallmark of civil service is the manufacturing of "paper" or documents. Only the grounding documents have foresighted content and authority from the date of its entry into force. So the implicit rights or obligations also in force on that date. Any interpretation, further explanation or "General Comment" made about this also have retroactive force by law. Every judicial decision also has this retroactive force by law.

The contradictory reality

The manufacturing of "paper" is characteristic for the civil service. It also manufactures rules for public order on "paper", or documents. So the civil service has an interest in disorder, injustice or violence for their work and income. The civil service has transformed the dependence, for government and citizens, on their support into governing [*4] through the "paper". Already with these two activities, the civil service is fighting against human rights or against the Universal Declaration or against democracy in a society.

In the meantime, the production of "paper" or documents is apparently unstoppable and the civil service now comprises a great many agencies, bodies or departments. These produce large quantities of "paper" or documents, which means that no one cares about this. Also because of waiting for the next "paper" or documents. Also because new "paper" or the new documents often contain rewrites that create the consequence of deviation that spreads discrimination or conflicts and thus disorder. Furthermore, the rewritings have and are drifting further and further away from the origin. This drifting is a betrayal of the author and the signatory representatives, but also a betrayal of the principles and objectives of the United Nations (Article 29, paragraph 3).

The evidence

The government is dependent on the support of the civil service and therefore has little or no authority or management over the civil service [*4]. The intergovernmental representatives are equally dependent and equally have little or no authority or control over the civil service. Among other things, the "International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights" [*5] provides rewrites of Human Rights in which, with omissions or in other words, they have drifted further from the Universal Declaration of June 26, 1945 [*6]. The document "General Comment No. 32" on Article 14 of the "International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights" provides pseudo-interpretations that are not in line or in disharmony with the thoughts, intentions and subject matter of the author of the Universal Declaration or its signatory representatives. Including in the document "General Comment No. 32" is drifted away very far from its origin.

(4) Indictment of protecting criminal of tribunals and judges

Article 10 grants the right to everyone (1) in full equality, (2) to a fair and public hearing, (3) to an independent and impartial tribunal. Article 8 grants everyone the right to an effective remedy by the competent national tribunals for acts violating the fundamental rights granted him by the constitution or the law.

The contradictory reality

The tribunals are composed of judicial officers who manufacture large numbers of "paper". By judging with and by one's own opinion afterwards, that has never been announced in advance unlike any law. All these intolerably unfair and discriminatory judgments are each a betrayal of the Universal Declaration. In addition to the fact that a great many judgments have been made with perjury, among other things, by lying, cheating or destroying information about the done injustice or missing a legal basis for the opinion of the tribunal. The UN's civil service forbids governments and civil servants to control these judgments [*7]. With this ban, the UN's civil service protects their fellow judicial officials despite their crimes [*8] and without wanting to know about the crimes. This is a betrayal of the principles and purposes of the United Nations, the Universal Declaration and its author and signatory representatives. The protection encourages fellow judicial officers to continue or not to stop.

The evidence

More and specific facts and data about and of the judicial crimes are made available to the public scrutiny in or with the internet file at URL "www.de-openbare-zaak.nl" and the internet site at URL "www.publicscrutiny.nl". In or at this latter internet site, all judicial officials are addressed and challenged [*10].

(5) Indictment of betrayal of democracy in the judicial system

Article 10 grants the right, inter alia, to a public hearing by a proper tribunal. The publicity of the hearing is intended by the author and the signatory representatives for public scrutiny of any judicial process and judgment [*9].

The contradictory reality

The UN's civil service's illegal ban on controlling judicial judgments [*7] destroys the purpose of publicly. The UN's civil service ignores the authority of public scrutiny and the prohibition implies that national governments and civil servants must also disregard public scrutiny. So it destroys democracy in the judicial system. Also this prohibition and ignoring are each a betrayal of the Universal Declaration.

Public



Scrutiny

Charter of the public scrutiny

The English and Dutch version is authentic

We the individual civilians of each nation
and the democracy in the judicial system
ground the equality to the civil service

Each identifier is the caesura for the turnover from violence to non-violence or from non-violence to violence because of whether or not human rights exist. These caesuras are when present, the ground-layer of non-violence.

Violence is communication

01. Violence is an escalation phase in the means of communication for reporting the absence of human rights. Violence has no cause when a workmanlike just court and tribunal or judge exist, so violence is evidence of the absence of this tribunal. Violence has a magnitude with alongside to this a heaviness and forcefulness.

Public scrutiny

02. Public control is the unity of all civil individuals. It is completely separate from the civil service, completely separate from employment and income interests with the judicial system, equal in sovereignty and equal to the power and authority of the civil service [*9].
03. Public scrutiny is at the same time the unity of justice, which has a unique standard. This standard defines justice on adjudicating in or with a national judicial process, judgment or decision. The unit and the standard are for the time being sufficiently specific and made public for use by any civilian individual, for the time being only on the internet site with the URL www.publicscrutiny.nl [*9]. Any judgment of this (sole legal) public scrutiny is published and thus subject to public scrutiny. This standard has in it the definition of fairness as in a fair trial, fair deal, fair play and so on.

Executive authority

04. Each nation has one official authority that carries out or exercises the judgments of the public scrutiny unimpeded and coercive in all national courts and at all

national tribunals or judges. Devoted to the cause for Lord Hewart for his dictum "It is not merely of some importance but is of fundamental importance that justice should not only be done, but should manifestly and undoubtedly be seen to be done".

Restrain the civil service

05. The civil service stops manufacturing new "paper" or new documents. Until the ground document achieves the intentions in reality for all civil individuals below the lowest latent discrimination line or until all latent discrimination lines are destroyed. Until then, the civil service reduces all "paper" to the ground document and a maximum of one follow-up for the correct interpretation or the correct setting forth.

Restrain the judicial civil service

06. Each national tribunal or judge is prohibited by law from judging with or on its own opinion. It is obligatory to carry out or exercise the intentions and objects of the author and the signatory representatives. The tribunal or judge is either prohibited from conducting a trial as a new start or is compelled to conduct a trial as the tail end of previous events.
07. Any national tribunal or judge is (jointly) prohibited by law from pronouncing more than one judicial verdict, in a nation, in all equal cases. Each law article is applied in harmony with each of all other articles in the same law. A distinction between persons, circumstances or means does not distinguish between cases. Distinction of circumstances or means only distinguishes non-defensible circumstances beyond one's control. Jump legislation does not alter the fact that a case falls within one legal framework. Judges in criminal cases are forbidden to consider only the lead to a deed, but also consider more heavily on the cause. So that circumstances beyond one's control (like third party forces) or concealed coercion can be tried.


Repair of injustice and damage

08. Each civil service employee, regardless judicial, administrative, legislative or maintenance, or each employee of an inter-states organisation who commits injustice or causes injustice and not repairs the injustice and its damage himself in a short time shall not be dismissed or get fired before the finished reparation. This (civil service) employee is enforced to repair in a reasonable period of time under the examination of the dupe civilian; this shall enforce without need for a court and tribunal or judge sentence. This civilian gets paid for this examining during this repair with the salary of this (civil service) employee and this (civil service) employee gets paid during this repair with the welfare allowance of a civil non-employed.


Location of documents and information

- [*1] URL: www.publicscrutiny.nl in chapter "Public scrutiny's challenge of tribunal judges or European Court" in section "Public scrutiny 's challenge of the European Court of Human Rights." in document "The Dutch Prime Minister's Destruction of Human Rights." or "The European Commission's Destruction of Human Rights."
- [*2] URL: www.publicscrutiny.nl in chapter "The Public scrutiny Manual, the General Terms and Conditions upon acceptance of an offer, European Court judgments and more documents".
- [*3] URL: <https://undocs.org/en/CCPR/C/GC/32>.
- [*4] URL: www.publicscrutiny.nl in chapter "Public scrutiny 's challenges of tribunals judges or European Court" in section "Addressing relevant authorities on this cover-up issue" in the item "A series of correspondence with the Prime Minister."
- [*5] URL: <https://www.ohchr.org/Documents/ProfessionalInterest/ccpr.pdf>
- [*6] URL: [https://www.un.org/sites/un2.un.org/files/udhr .pdf](https://www.un.org/sites/un2.un.org/files/udhr.pdf)
- [*7] In document "General Comment No. 32" (on article 14 of the "International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights") [*3], in article 19.
- [*8] Internet dossier URL: "www.de-openbare-zaak.nl" and the website URL www.publicscrutiny.nl.
- [*9] URL: www.publicscrutiny.nl in chapter "The Public scrutiny Manual, the General Terms and Conditions upon acceptance of an offer, European Court judgments and more documents" in document "Public scrutiny Manual".
- [*10] URL: www.publicscrutiny.nl in chapter "Public scrutiny 's challenge of tribunals judges or European Court" in section "Public scrutiny 's challenge of the European Court of Human Rights."

Confirmation of the delivery




[Versturen](#) [Ontvangen](#) [Zakelijke Oplossingen](#) [Webshop](#) [Service & Contact](#)



RN308756859NL

Zending is bezorgd


Bezorgd op 10 september 13:46



Hier is je pakket

CH1211
Zwitserland


De reis van je pakket



10 september 13:46


Zending is bezorgd

Bezorgd op 10 september 13:46




10 september 7:02

We hebben je gemist, zending niet bezorgd




10 september 7:01

Bezorger is onderweg




10 september 6:50

Zending op depot




7 september 12:33

Zending vrijgegeven in het land van bestemming, doorgestuurd naar geadresseerde




7 september 12:03

Zending ontvangen in land van bestemming




4 september 0:31

Zending verzonden naar land van bestemming



3 september 11:58

Zending is ontvangen door PostNL



3 september 9:55

Pakket is nog niet door PostNL ontvangen of verwerkt

– Toon minder

Gegevens van je pakket

Bezorgadres	Afzender	Track & trace-code	Beschrijving
CH1211	Onbekend	RN308756859NL	RN308756859NL
Zwitserland	